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Principles of inertial navigation

Initial state, rotation, acceleration & integration

- Navigating any vehicle in space without external aiding requires:
  - A starting position/orientation/velocity state
  - Dynamic pitch, roll and yaw rates
  - Vertical (heave), longitudinal (surge), lateral (sway) accelerations
  - Integration of these values to generate orientation, velocity and absolute position

- On Earth, there are two more observable phenomena in the frame:
  - Earth rotation
  - Gravity

An Inertial Measurement Unit has 3 x orthogonal rate gyroscopes and 3 x orthogonal accelerometers
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Gyroscope errors

- Sensors have two error types
  - Systematic (biases) – which can also vary slowly with time (bias drift)
  - Random errors (noise)

- Random error impacts instantaneous (local) accuracy

- Bias reduces system accuracy progressively over time – but static bias can be compensated

- Bias drift generates a “residual bias” which causes sensor accuracy to degrade over time – residual bias is *uncompensated*

- Without correction to a reference direction, observations will drift:
  - For pitch and roll, the best reference is the vertical direction (gravity vector)
  - For yaw, the best reference is north
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Gyro-compassing

- IMUs are classed as “Gyro-compassing” **only** if they can seek north, without any other direction finding source.
- North-seeking is a misnomer – actually they find east!
- East is direction of resultant vector difference between consecutive gravity vector observations as Earth rotates.
- North is derived from east, on a plane tangent to Earth’s surface.
- To provide heading (rather than just dynamic yaw) at better than 0.5° accuracy, the IMU must be capable of resolving rotations to an accuracy of 1/100th of Earth’s rotation rate.
- **Needs rate sensitivity of better than 0.15°/hr**
- Sensors that can’t achieve this, will not be north seeking and will need aiding (normally from GNSS)
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North sensing accuracy limits

- Gyrocompass heading accuracy is limited by the averaging necessary to deal with noise and bias drift
- For 0.5 degrees – need $10^{-1} \circ/h$
- For 0.05 degrees – need $10^{-2} \circ/h$
- For 0.01 degrees – need $10^{-3} \circ/h$
- Current technological performance:
  - iXblue FOG ~ $1 \times 10^{-6} \circ/h$ (and not yet reached technology limit)
  - RLG ~ $5 \times 10^{-5} \circ/h$ (at technology limit)
  - HRG ~ $3 \times 10^{-4} \circ/h$ (approaching technology limit)
  - MEMS ~ $10^1 \circ/h$ (at technology limit – cannot be an autonomous navigation gyrocompass)
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Effect of bias on INS position error

- To achieve unaided position accuracy of 1NM/day the INS requires bias stability (and scale factor) better than better than $10^{-3} \, ^\circ/\text{hr}$!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gyroscope composite bias</th>
<th>Longitude angular drift over 24 hours (arc minutes)</th>
<th>45 degrees latitude equivalent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01 deg/h</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>10 Nm in 1 day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001 deg/h</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1 Nm in 1 day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0001 deg/h</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1 Nm in 10 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000015 deg/h</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>1 Nm in 15 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0000010 deg/h</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>1 Nm in 14 weeks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Effect of bias on INS position error

- To achieve unaided position accuracy of 1NM/day the INS requires bias stability (and scale factor) better than better than $10^{-3} \degree/hr$!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gyroscope composite bias</th>
<th>Longitude angular drift over 24 hours (arc minutes)</th>
<th>45 degrees latitude equivalent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01 deg/h</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>10 Nm in 1 day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001 deg/h</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1 Nm in 1 day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0001 deg/h</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1 Nm in 10 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000015 deg/h</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>1 Nm in 15 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000001 deg/h</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>1 Nm in 14 weeks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Key points

• Low dynamic range Attitude and Heading Reference Systems (AHRS) are non-gyrocompassing and rely on “dual-GNSS” compasses or magnetic compasses to provide a north reference.

• A non-gyrocompassing AHRS will drift away from north as soon as the external reference is removed – i.e. in a subsea environment.

• A gyro-compassing INS will maintain heading awareness, with predictably distributed uncertainty.

• A gyro-compassing INS with very low bias instability will maintain better position and heading awareness with predictable uncertainty distribution.

Why are these factors important for robustness of AUV control systems?
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Predictable outcomes from unpredictable inputs

- **Control theory** – The design of a robust controller explicitly deals with uncertainty in inputs (i.e. positioning/orientation) to create predictable outputs (i.e. AUV control signals).

- Many control systems incorporate *Kalman filters* to ensure that the controller is fed a *predictive stream of data* irrespective of variable sensor rates, periodic losses.

- Kalman filters offer an organic means to *generate uncertainty estimates* from prediction step covariances.

- The output *uncertainty estimates benefit from input uncertainties that are “predictable”* and conform well to some expected a priori distribution.

- Sensor inputs that “wander” and exhibit *stochastic behaviours are problematic* as they will invalidate the output uncertainty estimate of the Kalman filter (in addition to negatively impacting the navigation solution).
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In context – AUV control with known sensor uncertainty distribution

Diagram:
- Controller
- Environment
- Kalman Filter
- Model Dynamics (propagate states & covariance)
- State Space
- Expected Uncertainty
- Input Uncertainty
- Propagated Uncertainty
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In context – stochastic sensor error effects on control
Conclusion

In other words

To achieve long-term robust control in an AUV it requires a **gyro-compassing INS** that provides:

- Pitch and roll referenced to an external fixed orientation (up) with predictably distributed measurement errors
- Non-drifting heading, not derived from external sensors, continually referenced to an internal computation of true north, with predictably distributed measurement errors
- Positioning output with predictable uncertainty distributions
- Lowest possible bias instability (preferably at or below \(10^{-3} \, ^\circ/\text{hr}\))